| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | 10/719,775 | LIGHTSTONE ET AL | · |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | KENNETH TANG | 2195 | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Response to Non-final</u> | | | |
| (1) <u>KENNETH TANG</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) Edward Van Gieson (Reg. No. 44,386). | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>11 March 2009</u> | Time: <u>4:30pm</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NA | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 7, 14, 15, 16, 21, and 22 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: NA | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | |
| Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| /Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195 | | | |
| /Kenneth Tang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2195 (A) | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appr | opriate) |

Application No.

Paper No. 20090311

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend claim 7 to have the limitations of claim 14. It was agreed to amend claim 15 to include the limitations of claim 7. It was agreed to amend claim 16 to include the limitations of claims 14 and 15. Furthermore, it was agreed to amend claim 21 to be of similar scope to the newly amended claim 1. Finally, it was agreed to amend claim 22 to be of similar scope to the newly amended claim 15. The above mentioned agreements were made in order to place the application in condition for allowance.